1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IRELL & MANELLA LLP Robert M. Schwartz (117166)     (rschwartz@irell.com) Victor Jih (186515)     (vjih@irell.com) Charles Elder (186524)     (celder@irell.com) 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199  Attorneys for Plaintiff GDC Technology Limited	
9	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
10	CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		
12	GDC TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,	) Case No.
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:
14	V.	) 1. INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH
15	DOLBY LABORATORIES, INC.,	CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS
16	Defendant.	2. INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE
17		PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC RELATIONS
18 19		<ul> <li>3. UNFAIR COMPETITION</li> <li>UNDER CAL. BUS. &amp; PROF.</li> <li>CODE § 17200 et seq.</li> </ul>
		4. DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
20 21		OF INVALIDITY OF COPYRIGHT AND OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
22		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
IRELL & MANELLA LLP A Registered Limited Liability Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations	8485560 CO	MPLAINT

Plaintiff GDC Technology Limited ("GDC") hereby alleges the following against defendant Dolby Laboratories, Inc. ("Dolby"):

#### **Jurisdiction & Venue**

- 1. This action arises under the Copyright Laws of the United States of America, 17 U.S.C. Section 101 *et seq.*, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. Sections 2201 and 2202. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the declaratory relief action under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and Section 1338(a) based upon the existence of an actual controversy between GDC, on the one hand, and Dolby, on the other, regarding Dolby's claim to a copyright in its digital cinema interoperability codes and related information, and Dolby's unlawful interference with GDC's business based on Dolby's false claim concerning such copyright. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state-law tort claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1338(b) and 1367.
- 2. This Court possesses personal jurisdiction over Dolby pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(1)(A) and Cal. Civ. Code Section 410.10 because Dolby conducts its digital cinema business in this District, in Burbank, California.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b)(1) because Dolby resides in this District and is subject to the court's general personal jurisdiction.

## **Nature of the Action**

4. Plaintiff GDC brings this lawsuit to stop Dolby from using unlawful methods to interfere with fair competition in the digital cinema industry. GDC and Dolby are competitors. Unfortunately, Dolby has concluded that it cannot compete against GDC on the basis of Dolby's technology, customer service, or price. Faced with that reality, Dolby has had to resort to unlawful methods. GDC has recently learned that Dolby has been telling GDC's existing and potential customers that GDC's digital cinema products will not interoperate with Dolby's products and that

- 5. As detailed below, Dolby's statements are false, and Dolby knows that what it is telling GDC's customers is false. GDC brings this lawsuit to recover damages caused by Dolby's misconduct and to obtain injunctive relief to stop Dolby from misleading GDC's customers and interfering with GDC's existing and future business relationships. GDC also seeks a judicial declaration that Dolby has no valid copyright or other intellectual property right in the information it seeks to monopolize, and that, even if there exists copyright or other protection in that information, GDC is engaged in fair use or other lawful conduct in using it to enable its products and Dolby's products to function together.
- 6. GDC is one of the world's largest sellers and servicers of software and hardware to theater owners that have converted from the use of physical film prints to entirely digital systems. GDC's servers have been installed to run on approximately 40,000 screens worldwide, including about 13,000 in the United States. GDC's TMS software has been installed to run approximately 17,000 screens worldwide, including about 7,000 in the United States.
- 7. A digital cinema system consists of four basic components: (a) a media server, which stores the video and audio content of the motion picture to be exhibited and "plays" those files when instructed, (b) a sound processor, which receives the audio signal from the server when the motion picture is being shown to the audience and relays it to amplifiers and speakers, (c) a digital projector, which converts the video signal received from the server into an image on the theater's screen, and (d) a piece of software residing on a computer that coordinates the functions of each of those three physical devices and other equipment. Within the industry, that software is called a "Theater Management System" or "TMS."
- 8. To communicate with a media server, the TMS software sends messages and commands, such as a command to instruct the media server to begin

playing a given motion picture at a given time. These messages and commands typically take the form of a four-digit hexadecimal (two byte) code, embedded in a larger message header that tells the server that it is about to receive a message. These interoperability codes are at the heart of this lawsuit.

- 9. Plaintiff GDC sells GDC-branded media servers. It also sells its own TMS software. Dolby sells media servers, sound processors, and TMS software. There are other competitors in the industry. Some sell projectors. Some sell media servers. Some sell TMS software. Some sell a combination of these. For a digital theater system to function, each component must be able to communicate with the others.
- 10. The participants in this industry, including Dolby, know that. They have never attempted to maintain secrecy or a proprietary interest in this information. Nor could they. There is nothing secret about these interoperability codes. For years market participants, including GDC and Dolby, have readily shared their interoperability codes and related information with one another. Even without such overt disclosure of this information, using basic computer hardware, one can easily determine the codes by reading the data transmissions between TMS software and a media server.
- 11. Were the market participants to not share this information, they would make it more difficult to sell their products to theater owners, whose needs may be

This package of information is sometimes called an "API." However, it should not be confused with the term "API" where used in other contexts to refer to computer code that functions as an interface between two other pieces of software. The digital cinema interoperability codes and message header information at issue here are *not* computer code. They are typically embodied in the form of a booklet that describes the functions of each available message and command for the operation of a particular piece of digital cinema hardware. Collectively, this information is often titled and referred to as a "protocol." Someone wishing to sell TMS software writes their own computer code to perform the functions described in the protocol and to operate the piece of hardware at issue, such as a media server. Among many other things, this code sends a message to the media server, containing the relevant interoperability code, when needed for the operation of the cinema system.

IRELL & MANELLA LLP A Registered Limited Liability Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations

- 4 -

**COMPLAINT** 

- 12. To that end, for years Dolby has provided the protocols, including the interoperability codes, for its products to its competitors, including GDC. And Dolby has never taken the position that any of this information constituted any form of intellectual property that it could or that it desired to control. For example, in 2011, when GDC asked Dolby to send GDC the latest Dolby media server protocols, which included the interoperability codes, Dolby provided them enthusiastically, even rebuffing the notion that any "license," "NDA" (non-disclosure agreement), or other "legal documents" were necessary. Why not? Because, in Dolby's own words, "We are happy to see our server become a component of as many [digital cinema] solutions as possible."
- 13. Similarly, GDC has provided the protocols, including its interoperability codes, to Dolby and to GDC's other competitors. As a result of this technical cooperation, sellers of TMS software have used the interoperability codes of Dolby, GDC, and others to enable their software to control the hardware, such as the media servers, sold by their competitors, including GDC and Dolby.
- 14. The cooperative relationship between GDC and Dolby began to change, however, after Dolby bought another media server manufacturer, Doremi, in October 2014. Dolby now sells the Doremi media servers under the Dolby nameplate. Although Dolby has continued to share its interconnection protocols, including interconnection codes, with every other member of the digital cinema industry, it has recently singled out GDC and decided that it would no longer do so.
- 15. Dolby has two reasons for discriminating against GDC and attempting to stymie GDC's ability to compete against Dolby in the digital cinema business.
- 16. First, GDC is by far Dolby's primary competitor for media servers. No other seller poses the same competitive threat.

22

23

24

25

26

- 17. Second, Dolby is seeking to enhance its prospects in another aspect of the digital cinema business: sound processing. In 2012, Dolby introduced a theater sound processing system called "Atmos." Dolby claims that its Atmos sound system provides an improved audio experience for theater-goers. But in selling its Atmos system to theater owners, Dolby has to compete against others. One competitor is Digital Theater Systems, also known as DTS. Dolby's competitive prospects dimmed in April 2015, when DTS announced a new and superior immersive sound processing technology, called "DTS:X." GDC is licensed to use the DTS:X technology on its servers. GDC is the only media server capable of playing motion pictures with the DTS:X immersive soundtrack; not even Dolby can offer servers capable of doing that. In addition, a theater owner wishing to use the Dolby Atmos sound system has to purchase additional hardware, including an expensive external sound processor (the Dolby CP850 cinema sound processor) to enable Dolby's Atmos soundtrack to be heard. In contrast, a theater owner wishing to offer the DTS:X immersive sound experience to its customers does not need to buy any such additional or expensive sound processing hardware.
- 18. To protect Dolby's investment in its Atmos sound system, defend its clumsy and expensive set-up, and to compel theater owners to use Dolby's sound system instead of DTS's sound system, Dolby is using its claimed intellectual property right in the interoperability codes to pressure GDC's customers to not use GDC servers. Dolby wants them to use Dolby servers, or others' servers that use Dolby's Atmos system.
- 19. In pursuit of these ends, Dolby could design, price, and service products and technologies that are superior to those offered by GDC. But Dolby has failed to do so. As a result, Dolby has had to resort to telling GDC's current and potential customers that GDC's products are not compatible with Dolby's products and that by interconnecting them, Dolby's intellectual property rights are being violated. To that end, on April 8, 2016, and for the first time in the many years that

- 20. GDC is informed and believes that Dolby knows that these assertions, and its similar statements to GDC's customers, are false: Dolby knows that GDC's products are compatible with those that Dolby sells. Dolby knows, for example, that GDC's software is able to control Dolby's digital cinema hardware.
- 21. GDC is informed and believes that Dolby also knows that the interoperability codes that permit Dolby's products to interoperate with GDC's products—and with the products sold by every other competitor in the digital cinema industry—are not protectable forms of intellectual property, whether under copyright law or otherwise. A search of the U.S. Copyright office reveals that Dolby has registered dozens of digital cinema-related works for copyright protection. The claimed intellectual property Dolby has told GDC's customers that they and GDC are infringing is nowhere to be found within those registrations. And in its April 8, 2016, letter to GDC, Dolby admitted that it has not previously sought copyright registration for those codes or their related information.
- 22. GDC is informed and believes that Dolby also knows that, even if these interoperability codes and related information were protectable as a matter of copyright or other law, GDC is engaged in fair use and its conduct is otherwise lawful. GDC writes its own software code for the GDC TMS. The only element of Dolby's protocol that GDC uses is the set of messages/commands and corresponding hexadecimal interoperability codes. Dolby allows every other participant in the industry to use this information. Dolby has never asked GDC, and GDC is informed and believes that Dolby has never asked any other industry participant, to sign a license or any other form of grant or permission to use that

1

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

4

6

7

10

11

# headquarters located at 1275 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94103 and its digital cinema business operations located in this District at 3601 West Alameda Avenue, Burbank, California 91505. Doremi Labs, located in this District at 1020 Chestnut Street, Burbank, California 91505 became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Dolby in 2014. Its digital cinema products, including media server and TMS software, are sold under the Dolby nameplate. Its officers and employees have

25.

engaged in the conduct at issue in this case.

# **Allegations Common To All Claims For Relief**

Defendant Dolby is a Delaware corporation with its corporate

26. At its core, this is a dispute over whether Dolby has a right to claim that various four-digit hexadecimal interoperability codes used to issue commands to computer hardware merit copyright or other intellectual property protection, and,

- 8 -
COMPLAINT

**IRELL & MANELLA LLF** Registered Limited Liability Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations

even if so, whether Dolby can tell GDC's customers that GDC's use of those codes is unlawful. To understand how these interoperability codes function, it is first necessary to delve into the mechanics of digital cinema systems.

## **Digital Cinema, TMS, and Interoperability Codes**

- 27. Digital cinema systems are most easily explained via analogy to a comparable entertainment system: the typical home theater. Like a digital cinema system, a home theater may consist of hardware purchased from several different manufacturers. Generally, each piece of hardware—whether it be a television screen, a sound system, a DVR, a set-top box, or something else—comes with its own remote control and instruction manual dictating how to use the hardware. The result is that the user can be overwhelmed by myriad remotes and instructions, unable to efficiently synchronize these systems and enjoy a painless viewing experience.
- 28. To combat that problem, many consumers purchase universal remotes, or program one remote (such as the one supplied with their set-top box) to operate all of the hardware. As their name suggests, these remotes are designed such that they can operate with every piece of hardware, no matter the model or make. That is, they enable the user to control the entire home theater using a single interface—the remote itself.
- 29. Manufacturers, however, cannot make functional universal remotes in a vacuum. Although they can design a remote control capable of sending the Infra-Red ("IR") pulses needed to execute numerous functions of the hardware, the remote cannot communicate with a piece of hardware unless it knows some of that hardware's "vocabulary." Thus, a universal remote manufactured by Company B can only tell a Company A television set to turn on the power if it knows the specific word or command associated with that function.
- 30. In that regard, TMS software is akin to the universal remote for digital cinema. One of the four main components of any digital cinema system, TMS

- software allows cinema exhibitors to govern and control—via one interface—the other three primary components that comprise a modern digital cinema system:

  (a) a media server, which stores the video and audio content of a motion picture and "plays" those files when instructed; (b) a sound processor, which receives the audio signal from the server when the motion picture is being shown to the audience; and (c) a digital projector, which converts the video signal received from the server into an image on the theater's screen.
- 31. However, like a universal remote, TMS software cannot communicate with a given piece of hardware unless it knows that hardware's vocabulary—or messages and commands—for particular functions. A Dolby media server's commands generally consist of four-digit hexadecimal (two byte) interoperability codes. To illustrate, the hexadecimal digits "A1B2" could be used as a Dolby media server command tell the media server to begin playback of the motion picture.
- 32. Historically, GDC, Dolby, and other media server manufacturers have freely shared these interoperability codes, presumably on the understanding that the more TMS software that can run their hardware, the more versatile—and thus attractive—the hardware is to digital cinema exhibitors. And for years, TMS software developers have employed these interoperability codes to ensure that their software can function in any digital cinema system. Indeed, Dolby and GDC have previously exchanged interoperability codes.
- 33. Additionally, Dolby and GDC neither made any claim to intellectual property rights in these interoperability codes, nor sought to license the use of these interoperability codes.
- 34. Notably, the only information of Dolby—or any other company—that GDC's TMS software uses are these interoperability codes and associated messages and commands. That is industry practice. Like its other competitors, GDC writes its own code for the TMS software itself.

#### **Doremi Interoperability Codes**

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 35. For years, Doremi has shared its interoperability codes and related information with manufacturers and other participants in the digital cinema industry. GDC has used the Doremi interoperability codes and related information to enable its TMS software to interface with Doremi's media servers.
- 36. Until recently, GDC's use of Doremi's interoperability codes has been a non-event, as demonstrated by Doremi's lack of any reaction or response. Doremi has known that many TMS software providers have been using the Doremi interoperability codes to ensure that their TMS software could interface with Doremi's media servers. GDC is unaware of any efforts by Doremi to interfere with this use; presumably Doremi did not believe that it had legal grounds to do so.

#### **Dolby Acquires Doremi in October 2014**

- 37. Dolby and GDC have had an amicable relationship for years. As noted above, Dolby provided GDC with the interoperability codes necessary for GDC's TMS software to communicate with Dolby's digital cinema servers.
- 38. On information and belief, this relationship changed in late 2014, when Dolby acquired Doremi Labs. This purchase represented an intensification of Dolby's efforts to dominate the digital media server market and the market for a new generation of theater sound processing, known as "immersive sound." At that point, Dolby began selling Doremi media servers under the Dolby nameplate and used Doremi's interoperability codes to control those servers. And Dolby has refused to support the DTS:X sound format in its digital cinema products.
- 39. Around the same time that Dolby was bolstering its media server offerings, GDC sought to expand its audio offerings. To that effect, GDC announced in April 2015 that it was the licensee for DTS:X, the new immersive sound processing technology developed by DTS. Just as GDC competed with Dolby in the TMS and media server market, DTS competed with Dolby in the sound processing technology market.

**IRELL & MANELLA LLF** Registered Limited Liability Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations

- 40. Thus, by mid-2015, Dolby faced a dilemma. Its major competitor in the media server market was now offering cinema exhibitors an alternative to Dolby's own sound processing technology—Dolby Atmos. Accordingly, to preserve its server market share, Dolby had to stifle GDC's ascendance.
- 41. Generally, when confronted in the marketplace by a superior product, companies seek to generate a competitive edge by developing new technology, improving product performance, offering improved customer service, or simply by dropping the price of extant products.
- 42. Dolby apparently did not believe these to be viable options to continue competing with GDC. Instead of focusing on its own offerings, Dolby sought to attack the GDC alternatives.
- 43. This effort began with a campaign to dissuade cinema exhibitors from using GDC's TMS software to control Dolby digital media servers. GDC is informed and believes that, in late 2015, Dolby began contacting digital cinema exhibitors with whom it knew GDC currently had contractual relationships or with whom it believed GDC would soon enter into contractual relationships (collectively, "GDC customers") to provide GDC TMS software that could interface with a variety of digital cinema system products, including Dolby's.
- 44. GDC is informed and believes that, although Dolby knew that its interoperability codes and related information were not protectable under U.S. copyright law (or any other U.S. intellectual property regime), it nonetheless falsely represented to GDC customers that GDC's use of these codes and information violated Dolby's intellectual property rights.
- 45. For example, in a March 19, 2016 letter one of GDC's customers, PVR Pictures, one of the largest theater operators in the world, Mike Archer, a Dolby executive and former Vice President of Digital Cinema Sales at Doremi, wrote:

It was brought to my attention that PVR has decided to deploy the GDC TMS to control Dolby/Doremi servers. I feel I am obligated

to inform you that we have authorized the use inside of China, but GDC is not authorized to use their TMS to control our servers outside of China.

Being a public company like Dolby, I'm sure you can appreciate our concern when other companies choose to honor our request as it relates to our IP.

- 46. This letter had its intended effect. Dolby immediately plunged GDC's relationship with PVR into turmoil, jeopardizing GDC's extant contractual relations. By knowingly invoking baseless infringement claims, Dolby has damaged and continues to damage GDC's current and future contractual relationships via unfair business methods.
- 47. In addition to telling GDC's customers (and, by necessity, Dolby's own customers) that using GDC TMS to control Dolby servers violates Dolby's intellectual property rights, GDC is informed and believes that Dolby falsely has represented to GDC's customers that GDC's products are not compatible with Dolby's products, including Dolby media servers.
- 48. As stated above, Dolby also communicated with industry participants with whom Dolby expected GDC to conduct future business. Dolby told such potential GDC customers that GDC's TMS software was not authorized to operate Dolby media servers (implying that Dolby's authorization was necessary because the information needed to interoperate the products constituted Dolby's intellectual property), that using the TMS software to operate Dolby's media servers would violate Dolby's legal rights, and that such potential purchasers should not buy GDC's products. Believing Dolby's representations to be true, these potential GDC customers refrained from purchasing GDC's TMS software.
- 49. In an effort to resolve dispute informally, GDC wrote Dolby on March 23, 2016, and stated that Dolby's interoperability codes were not copyrightable and

27

28

8485560

unaware of these relationships.

these contracts. Indeed, it could not have written the offending letters were it

## With Prospective Economic Advantage

- 60. GDC incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 52 as set forth fully herein.
- 61. Before Dolby's 2016 letter-writing campaign, GDC was actively developing business relationships to provide TMS software and servers to digital cinema exhibitors and manufacturers.

28

8485560

27

23

24

25

- 62. Dolby is and, at all material times, has been aware of the existence of GDC's prospective contractual relationships. Dolby could not have sent GDC's customers the kinds of communications that it has been sending were it unaware of these prospective contractual relationships.
- 63. GDC is informed and believes that Dolby falsely represented to potential GDC customers that Dolby held property rights in its interoperability codes and related information even though Dolby knew that it did not possess any legitimate intellectual property rights in that information. GDC is also informed and believes that Dolby falsely represented to these digital cinema exhibitors and manufacturers that GDC's TMS software was not interoperable with Dolby's products.
- 64. Dolby's representations were calculated to disrupt GDC's ongoing business negotiations with prospective customers. Dolby contacted potential GDC customers with the intent to induce them to sever their business relationships with GDC and ensure that they did not enter into contracts to purchase GDC's TMS software.
- 65. Dolby's false representations as alleged above were wrongful, constituting, among other things, an unfair business practice in violation of California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 *et seq*.
- 66. GDC is informed and believes that, because of Dolby's false representations to potential GDC customers, those customers severed their business relationships with GDC.
- 67. As a result of Dolby's intentional interference with GDC's prospective economic advantage, GDC suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial. Because of Dolby's intentional acts, GDC will not realize the profits (and the concomitant value of further developing the customer relationships with which Dolby's conduct has interfered) from these prospective business relationships that it would have realized, but for Dolby's conduct described herein.

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership Including
Professional Corporations

customers have been damaged, injuring GDC.

that "any unauthorized or unlicensed use or dissemination of Dolby's [interoperability codes] by GDC would constitute an infringement of Dolby's rights."

- 80. Dolby has also "demand[ed] that GDC cease any communication with any third party that GDC does have a right" to use Dolby's Alleged Property.
- 81. Contrary to Dolby's assertions, the four-digit hexadecimal (two byte) interoperability codes, as well as the other elements of Dolby's Alleged Property, are not subject to copyright protection or any other form of intellectual property, such as a trade secret. Among other reasons, the foregoing do not possess the requisite modicum of creativity, are functional, and represent the merger of idea and expression. And Dolby has publicly disclosed the information contained in its Alleged Property, thereby stripping it of any trade secret protection.
- 82. Even if Dolby's Alleged Property is properly the subject of copyright or trade secret protection, GDC claims, and Dolby disputes, that GDC is engaged in fair use or other lawful conduct in GDC's use of Dolby's Alleged Property to enable GDC's TMS software to function with Dolby media servers. Furthermore, Dolby is estopped from asserting copyright or trade secret claims based upon equitable doctrines of waiver and laches. Dolby has not asserted its alleged copyright or trade secret claims against any other company despite knowledge of alleged infringement. Dolby has knowingly acquiesced as GDC and other companies used Dolby interoperability codes and other elements of its Alleged Property for years.
- 83. Based on the foregoing, there exists an actual controversy between the parties over the following:
  - a. whether the Dolby Alleged Property, including the interoperability codes, constitutes copyrightable subject matter;
  - b. whether the Dolby Alleged Property, including the interoperability codes, constitutes any other form of protectable intellectual property, such as a trade secret;

1		c. whether, even if the Dolby Alleged Property, including the
2	interd	operability codes, constitutes copyrightable subject matter, GDC's use
3	viola	tes any copyright therein or is subject to an affirmative defense; and,
4		d. whether, even if the Dolby Alleged Property, including the
5	interd	operability codes, constitutes any other form of protectable intellectual
6	prope	erty, such as a trade secret, GDC's use violates the rights therein or is
7	subje	ct to an affirmative defense.
8		Prayer for Relief
9	WHE	REFORE, GDC respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter
10	judgment in	its favor and order the following relief:
11		On the First and Second Claims for Relief
12	1.	For compensatory damages according to proof in an amount to be
13		determined at trial;
14	2.	For an award of exemplary damages against Dolby sufficient to make
15		an example of and punish Dolby for its willful misconduct as alleged
16		herein;
17		On the First, Second, and Third Claims for Relief
18	3.	For injunctive and other relief preventing Dolby from engaging in acts
19		of interference with GDC's existing and future business relationships
20		and acts of unfair competition;
21		On the Fourth Claim for Relief
22	4.	For a judicial declaration that:
23		a. The Dolby Alleged Property, including the interoperability
24		codes, does not constitute copyrightable subject matter;
25		b. The Dolby Alleged Property, including the interoperability
26		codes, does not constitute any other form of protectable
27		intellectual property, such as a trade secret;
28		
	1	

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership Including
Professional Corporations

1		c. Even if the Dolby Alleged Property, including the	
2		interoperability codes, constitutes copyrightable subject matter,	
3		GDC's use does not violate any copyright therein or is subject to	
4		an affirmative defense, such as fair use, waiver, acquiescence, or	
5		laches; and,	
6		d. Even if the Dolby Alleged Property, including the	
7		interoperability codes, constitutes any other form of protectable	
8		intellectual property, such as a trade secret, GDC's use does not	
9		violate the rights therein or is subject to an affirmative defense.	
10		On All Claims for Relief	
11	5.	For attorneys' fees and costs expended in the prosecution of this action	
12		to the full extent permitted by law; and	
13	6.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.	
14			
15	Dated: Apr	ril 11, 2016 IRELL & MANELLA LLP Robert M. Schwartz	
16		Victor Jih Charles Elder	
17			
18		By: Collect M. Silve	
19		Robert M. Schwartz Attorneys for Plaintiff GDC Technology Limited	
20		GDC Technology Limited	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>			
28			
LP			
lity g ns		- 21 -	

IRELL & MANELLA LI A Registered Limited Liabili Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations

8485560

**COMPLAINT** 

1	Demand For Jury Trial
2	GDC hereby demands a jury trial on all issues properly triable to a jury.
3	
4	Dated: April 11, 2016 IRELL & MANELLA LLP Robert M. Schwartz
5	Robert M. Schwartz Victor Jih Charles Elder
6	Q1 - 11124
7 8	By: Robert M. Schwartz
9	Robert M. Schwartz Attorneys for Plaintiff GDC Technology Limited
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
IRELL & MANELLA LLP A Registered Limited Liability Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations	- 22 - 8485560 COMPLAINT